Heating the Midwest with Renewable Biomass April 24-26, 2013

Ellen Anderson Senior Advisor to Governor Mark Dayton



(Part of) Minnesota Delegation to World Bioenergy Conference 2012

Gränna, Sweden

Minnesota & Sweden Similarities

- No domestic natural gas production
- Abundant Forests—wood resource
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction goals
 - Minnesota 15% by 2015, 30% by 2025; 80% by 2050
 - Sweden carbon neutral by 2050



 Population: Sweden (9+ million); MN & Wisconsin combined (11 million)

Minnesota & Sweden Differences

SWEDEN

 Carbon tax
 Binding CO2 reduction goals

MINNESOTA

- GHG reduction goals but no implementation mechanism
- Biomass
 Sustainability
 Safeguards

Sweden Energy Mix

Sustainable Sweden

• Over 47% of all energy from renewable sources

• 1/3 of energy from bioenergy

Sweden timetable

- Carbon neutrality by 2050
- 40% reduction in carbon footprint by 2020
- 50% renewables by 2020
- No fossil fuels in transportation by 2030
- EU goals for GHG reduction, energy consumption reduction, renewables also drive markets

Sweden Policy Drivers

CO2 tax (1991) and energy taxes

- Emissions trading
- Tradeable green certificates for renewable electricity production
- Tax exemptions for biofuels

Sweden's District Energy Success Story

• 1970's highly dependent on imported oil

• Over 500 district energy heating systems in Sweden--

Late 1970's: 90% fueled by heating oil 2010: 70% fueled by biomass

 Swedish model of community scale district energy systems

Many Examples

- Klevshult, Sweden: Jernforsen Energi--6 MW heating plant using locally-produced wood waste such as bark, sawdust, and wood chips.
- Gränna, Sweden: mid-sized heating plant utilizing wood chips--two 2 MW boilers along with a flue gas condensation unit operate at the heart of the plant.

Minnesota's Biomass Sustainability Safeguards

• MN Master Logger Program

- Master Logger Education
- Certification program: third-party audited certification of a logging operation's business and harvest practices.
- Majority of MN's Forests maintain dual Third Party Certification (SFI and FSC)
- Sustainable Biomass Harvesting Guidelines

Minnesota was first U.S. state to have sustainable biomass harvesting guidelines

- Biomass Harvesting Guidelines were developed in 2007 by the Minnesota Forest Resources Council (MFRC)
- Required for state and county forests, certified forests but voluntary for others.
- Can be used as marketing tool

• Recommended that 33% of fine woody debris and brush is retained On-site during biomass harvesting to sustain forest biodiversity, soil health, and wildlife habitat.

Biomass guidelines protect:

- Cultural resources
- o Soils
- Riparian Areas
- Water quality, quantity, wetlands
- Wildlife Habitat
- Native Plant Communities

Biomass guidelines help reduce:

- Rutting and soil depression
- Soil compaction and erosion
- Nutrient depletion
- Nonpoint source water pollution
- Sensitive site disturbance
- Loss of habitat

Minnesota Biomass Mandate

• Xcel Energy must build or contract for 110 MW of electricity generated from biomass

 Must be farm-grown herbaceous crops, trees, agricultural waste, and aquatic plant matter to generate electricity, specifically excludes mixed municipal solid waste

Projects include:

- St. Paul District Energy (next 4 slides)
- Fibrominn turkey-litter project (55 MW)
- Virginia/Hibbing: Two municipal district energy cogeneration plants (combined 66 MW)



St. Paul Cogeneration – Combined Heat & Power



- 65 MW thermal and 25 MW of electricity
- Renewable, clean, urban wood residue
- Greenhouse gas CO₂ reduced up to 280,000 tons per year



District Energy St. Paul

Integration of Biomass

St. Paul District Energy



- Up to 300,000 tons/year
- Clean wood waste diverted from landfills
- Created new industry for collecting and processing wood
- Up to \$12 million annually put into local economy

Biomass – Where does it come from? St. Paul District Energy

- Wood residuals from manufacturing processes
- Construction waste/clean dimensional lumber
- Urban and park tree trimmings
- Storm and disease damaged trees
 - Example: Emerald Ash Borer
- Trees removed as part of a timber management plan/restoration



Largest Solar Thermal in MN St. Paul District Energy

- 1 MW solar thermal provides hot water and heat to convention center & hockey arena in St. Paul
- Excess goes to District Energy system heating and cooling State Capitol & downtown with biomass (wood)





Propane to Biomass Potential

- Most Minnesotans use natural gas for heat but...
- Over 750,000 Minnesota households are NOT connected to natural gas, a large portion of those use propane
- For residential biomass system, potential 12-26% cost savings compared to propane depending on size of type of system



New Biomass Heating Projects •Grand Marais •Ely •Other communities •Small scale—no natural gas access-locally sourced wood—build on existing sawmill/logging industry

Value from Local, Small Scale Thermal Wood Biomass Clusters

 Localized Economic Development
 Dollars spent on fuel stay in community (reduce export of energy dollars spent on fuel oil/propane)

 Energy security—local, renewable energy supply

• Help maintain forest health

- Support strong forest industry and supply chain, loggers
- Climate change mitigation goals

Thank you!

Contact ellen.anderson@state.mn.us

Many thanks to Anna Dirkswager, DNR Biomass Consultant